COLORADO SCHOOL OF MINES FACULTY SENATE MINUTES February 4, 2003 - 2:00 PM Hill Hall Room 300

ATTENDEES: Curtis, Eberhart, Frost, Harrison, Illangasekare, Navidi, Readey, and Thiry

APOLOGIES: Dean, Dickerhoof, Mitcham, Ross and Kee (on sabbatical Spring 2003)

VISITORS: Nigel Middleton, Vice President for Academic Affairs

RESOLUTION: Resolved, that the faculty of the Colorado School of Mines joins the nation and the world in mourning the seven Columbia astronauts and we extend our sympathies to the families of these brave explorers who gave their lives in humanity's quest to understand the universe and our role in it.

A **motion** to adopt this resolution was made by Frost, seconded by Illangasekare, and passed unanimously by the Senate. It will be posted on the Senate web page and read at the February 26, 2003 Faculty Forum.

COMMENTS FROM VPAA MIDDLETON:

- A. Columbia The Center for Commercial Applications of Combustion in Space (CCACS) is planning a memorial service. Nigel suggested that the Senate's Columbia resolution be included. Readey will contact Franklin Schowengerdt, Director of CCACS.
- B. Eva Klein Associates, who is developing CSM's strategic plan, will meet with the Faculty Senate at their February 18, 2003 meeting. Middleton requested that the Senate devote the entire meeting to Eva Klein representatives.
- C. CSM is complying with Microsoft's request that CSM conduct a self-audit to verify that all Microsoft programs that are running on any CSM platform are licensed programs. This audit must be completed within a month.
- D. CSM's budget has been cut by 12.3% or approximately \$2.5M. This equates to a \$2M base cut in 2003-04 CSM's budget. At the end of 2003 FY, CSM will have overspent budget by \$1 to \$1.5M. To balance the 2003 budget, monies will be spent from the Petroleum Institute proceeds. At this time, there is no state hiring freeze. Therefore, CSM is going ahead with six or seven searches. Positions will be filled contingent on selected candidate's qualifications.
- E. Follow-up to January 31, 2003 Faculty Forum President Readey requested a copy of CSM's detailed budget. Middleton stated that this doesn't exist. However, Middleton can account for every dollar in the education and general budget (E&G). The E&G budget of \$50+M supports the day-to-day operations. Other two parts of the budget are 1) auxiliary that is board, lodging and student life, and 2) research that has expenditures of approximately \$26M.

Senators want to know the CSM research budget, so informed decisions can be made. For instance, is research costing CSM money or what would happen if the amount of research conducted were decreased?

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES: The minutes of the January 21, 2003 Faculty Senate meeting were approved as amended.

MUST DO ITEMS:

- A. Committee on Committees Harrison
 - 1. <u>Committee on Sports and Athletics</u> The following memo was distributed

DATE: Monday, January 27, 2003 FROM: J. Hogan, Faculty Athletics Representative TO: Wendy J. Harrison, Chair, Committee on Committees

On August 27, 2002, I received from you a copy of an August 05, 2002, memo addressed to the Faculty Senate. In this memo you charged the Committee on Sports and Athletics with "developing a proposal" which would recommend a mechanism "for the appointment of a qualified Faculty Athletics Representative with appropriate term limitations." Further, the memo directed that we should propose a "committee structure and membership that would allow the work of the committee to be completed effectively." This proposal was to be submitted no later than January 31, 2003. In accordance with your memo, our committee hereby presents a proposal which you will find below. In addition, our committee believes that this is the proper moment to recommend a name change. The present committee title, "Committee on Sports and Athletics," is at best ambiguous and, at worst, misleading. Many faculty, including some on the Senate, believe we are an arm of the Athletics Department. This is farthest from the truth. In fact, we are faculty who oversee the Athletics Department. Therefore, we recommend that henceforth we should be known as the "Faculty Oversight Committee on Sports and Athletics."

John A. Hogan Faculty Athletics Representative

FACULTY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ON SPORTS AND ATHLETICS

MEMBERSHIP: The Faculty Athletics Representative shall serve as chair of the Committee; four faculty members appointed by the Senate for staggered three-year terms; the Vice President for Student Affairs/Dean of Students and the Director of Athletics shall serve ex officio; and a Senator appointed by the Senate for a one-year term. Members, other than the Vice President/Dean and Director, shall not hold university administrative positions or coaching positions in the Athletics Department.

The Committee shall recommend to the Faculty Senate as Faculty Athletics Representative for a three-year term, a member or past member of the Committee who has served a three-year term.

The Faculty Athletics Representative shall be eligible for re-election.

6.1.3 Faculty Representative. A member institution shall designate an individual to serve as faculty athletics representative. An individual so designated after January 12, 1989, shall be a member of the institution faculty or an administrator who hold faculty rank and shall not hold an administrative or coaching position in the athletics department. Duties of the faculty athletics representative **shall be determined by the member institution**. (emphasis added) from 2002-2003 NCAA Division II manual.

A **motion** to approve the proposed changes to the Committee on Sports and Athletics was made by Harrison, was seconded by Curtis, and was passed unanimously by the Senate.

- <u>Technology Fee Committee</u> Harrison moved that Keith Turner (GE) be appointed to a two-year term on the Technology Fee Committee, replacing Junping Wang (MACS) who is on a NSF assignment. The motion was seconded by Frost and passed unanimously by the Senate.
- 3. <u>Core Curriculum Coordinating Committee</u> Harrison **moved** that the following proposal to establish a Core Curriculum Coordinating Committee as a subcommittee of the Undergraduate Council be accepted as amended. The amendment was to change the wording under "Membership" to match that of the other committees. Curtis seconded the motion and it was passed unanimously by the Senate.

Proposal to establish a Core Curriculum Coordinating Committee as a subcommittee of the Undergraduate Council: *Function.* To coordinate all of the core courses and to cooperate with the Assessment Committee in evaluating their effectiveness on an ongoing basis. *Method of*

operation. The committee shall meet at least once per semester and report any recommendations to the Undergraduate Council. *Membership*. The Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs as chair, the coordinators (or departmental designees) of all core courses including Calculus I, II, and III; Physics I and II; Nature and Human Values; Economics; Earth and Environmental Systems; EPICS; Chemistry I and II; Systems I, II, and III; CSM 101; PAGN 101 and 102. The Registrar shall be a non-voting member.

4. <u>Handbook Committee</u> - Harrison will write a proposal to revise the membership of the following University Committees: 1)Budget Committee, 2)Undergraduate Student Affairs Committee, and 3)Calendar Committee. This proposal will be presented to the Faculty Senate on March 4, 2003 for its approval before it is presented to the Handbook Committee for its consideration. Harrison has not been able to find a replacement for E. Pang on this committee. Readey will contact John Speer (MT).

REPORT JANUARY 29, 2003 FACULTY FORUM

A. The following is a list of issues that were discussed at the forum. This list was compiled from notes taken by Frost, Illangasekare, Eberhart and Alice Jensen at the forum.

Budget Data

- complete budget (Olhoeft)
- financial model of CSM (King)
- ➤ status ??

Trimester System (Moore)

- how to handle (Moore)
- effect on research (Hitzman)
- other schools experience (Readey)

Curriculum

- reduce credit hours (Readey)
- 4+1 programs (Poeter)
- reduce number of programs (Wolden)

Go Private (Wiley)

- buildings not an issue (R. Moore, Furtak)
- cut down financial aid (McNeil)
- increase tuition (Franchi)

New Programs (Schowengerdt)

- retention increases (Schowengerdt)
- retention = interest (Furtak)
- non-engineering programs (Harrison)
- biology, bioengineering, liberal arts degrees (Harrison, Shoreshi, Sacks)
 survey students (Sacks, Furtak)
- niche programs (Eberhart)
- increase in undergraduate enrollment predicated on having new programs
- Where? Engineering already overloaded (Gosink)
- cost of new programs (Gosink)
- > need more infrastructure if increase UG (McNeil)
 - Saturday and night classes
- B. It was the consensus of the Senators present that these issues be posted on the Senate website for faculty input. Readey would notify the entire CSM faculty intent and invite their input on these issues. This data would then be collected and discussed at a specially called Senate meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 4:00 PM.