The purpose of the Mines Project Governance Committee is to provide executive leadership and oversight of the project efforts at Mines.

Attendees:
- Rebecca Flintoft
- Vicki Nichol
- Sam Spiegel
- Mike Erickson
- Monique Sendze
- Clayton Durkee

Clayton started the meeting with a discussion of what “goals” in the project request should be used for Strategic Alignment. The seven goals for Mines at 150 were brought up. It was noted that they were somewhat general, so the VP Strategic Priorities were discussed as another choice. The ultimate goal is to be able to show how project work supports the various strategic goals. The committee decided that both the Mines@150 goals should be used as well as the VP Strategic priorities. The committee also requested that the requests detail how the goals actually apply to the request.

The next item was the review of the prioritization from the prior meeting and answering questions that had come from the effort. For the difference in status for the CRS projects, it was noted that the on-hold status was for the CCIT part of the effort not the overall effort. There was a question about a proposed Faculty Info Management system and how this might be similar to other projects. Sam said that he would get more information about this effort and bring it back to the committee. The question about whether the AFBR project requests had to be done in order was answered by Clayton. He talked to Jackie Twehues who said that they do not have to be done in order but do have to be done sequentially. Rebecca provided information about the sponsor of the Preferred Name project being Camille Torres and for the E-Ticketing project that Commencement was the initial driver for it, but both Athletics and SAIL are intending to use the system for Fall events, Thus, the project should continue to move forward.

Moving on to the prioritizing the five new requests. The committee decided that the You at College project needed to be in the top five because it supported the efforts around suicide prevention. The project to move MAPS to TeamDynamix should be in the top 10 to get MAPS off Footprints and support the shared service direction.
The “piloting” of Qualtrics should also be in the top 10 to bring standardization to our survey data. Vicki made a note that we need to be careful with pilot situations as the activity could create issues if there is a RFP that follows. Vicki also noted that if the use of a particular piece of software will lead to spending $50,000 or more over a 5-year period it should do an RFP up front.

The Maxient project should be in the middle range, as the need being addressed is not pressing. The AMS project could be lower as it will most likely be addressed next year.

It was also noted that “cloud” project need to be aware of the issue to bring data back to Cognos for future use.

The project request form in TeamDynamix will be updated with the Mines@150 goals as well as a field to address the VP Strategic Priorities. Clayton will also update the priorities from the discussion and will let the committee know for final review.