Raw Comments from Faculty Forum Breakout Session (unsorted)
BSE 2.0 Working Group

Written Comments Submitted

• Be careful – Many competing entities for the same ideas
  o McBride
  o EPICS
  o HE
  o BSE 2.0? – Maybe don’t need this; bolster others instead
• I am slightly concerned about employment opportunities; I am glad the committee has done some work on this, but I’m still a little worried
• Be sure there are clear skills sets (innovation, business, society, hands-on experience, .... does not make it clear what the skillsets are)
• My concern with a “showcase” program is what if it doesn’t trickle down but instead is off-loaded with thinking about these challenges so that other departments do not have to
• Why is there a question of the BSE2.0 impacting non-CECS UG eng degree? Why not only impacting CECS degrees?

Verbal Comments Recorded

• Why is climate change not listed as an application area?
• The committee should look at some CU-Boulder research on flexible curriculum
• It seems the stated mission should be true for all degrees at CSM
• This degree will have to be sold better with a focus on the skill sets students will get
• Comment: The RPI product design/innovation program attracted more diverse education
• One vision for this degree is it does for engineering what a broad liberal arts education does: gives a strong focus on critical thinking and problem solving
• McBride workshop covered similar topics
• Need to be careful about ensuring employment for grads: that first job may be harder to get
• To achieve goals we will need to deliberately integrate these diverse topics into the courses
• But, capitalize on existing courses as much as possible