

Raw Comments from Faculty Forum Breakout Session (unsorted)
BSE 2.0 Working Group

Written Comments Submitted

- Be careful – Many competing entities for the same ideas
 - McBride
 - EPICS
 - HE
 - BSE 2.0? – Maybe don't need this; bolster others instead
- I am slightly concerned about employment opportunities; I am glad the committee has done some work on this, but I'm still a little worried
- Be sure there are clear skills sets (innovation, business, society, hands-on experience, does not make it clear what the skillsets are)
- My concern with a "showcase" program is what if it doesn't trickle down but instead is off-loaded with thinking about these challenges so that other departments do not have to
- Why is there a question of the BSE2.0 impacting non-CECS UG eng degree? Why not only impacting CECS degrees?

Verbal Comments Recorded

- Why is climate change not listed as an application area?
- The committee should look at some CU-Boulder research on flexible curriculum
- It seems the stated mission should be true for all degrees at CSM
- This degree will have to be sold better with a focus on the skill sets students will get
- Comment: The RPI product design/innovation program attracted more diverse education
- One vision for this degree is it does for engineering what a broad liberal arts education does: gives a strong focus on critical thinking and problem solving
- McBride workshop covered similar topics
- Need to be careful about ensuring employment for grads: that first job may be harder to get
- To achieve goals we will need to deliberately integrate these diverse topics into the courses
- But, capitalize on existing courses as much as possible