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Abstract

Cuddington-Jerrett (2008) define super cycles as sustained expansion phases in metals prices lasting 10-35 years. They argue that statistical evidence suggests that a fourth cycle (since the mid 1850s) began to emerge in 1999, due to industrialization and urbanization in China.

In light of the abrupt retreat in metals prices in late 2008, this paper asks: is the super cycle still in process? We argue that business cycle fluctuations can obscure longer-term super cycle behavior, but that long-term super cycle fundamentals are probably still ‘in play.’ Time will tell.
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I. Real Versus Nominal Prices

• It is useful to compare nominal and real prices over a long time span to get some perspective on whether current metal prices are ‘high’ or ‘low’ by historical standards.

• Real prices
  = nominal price/U.S. consumer price index
Mineral Commodity Prices: High or Low?

Let’s look at:

- CRB-Metals Price Index
  - CRB metals (sub-index of 5 markets): copper scrap, lead scrap, steel scrap, tin & zinc
  - Available monthly from Jan 1947 – present
  - Source: Reuters-CRB Commodity Index Report/Haver Analytics.

- Gold (London PM fixing)
- Crude Oil (WTI)
CRB-Metals Price Index
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II. Super Cycles in Metals Prices
• Evidence for the emergence of a fourth metal price super cycle since the mid-1850s.

• A Super Cycle expansion phase measured from trough to peak:
  ▫ 10-35 years
Super Cycles: Necessary Ingredients

- **Demand** - Rapid industrialization and urbanization phase of economic development process in China.

- **Short-run Supply Constraints** - Very price-inelastic short-run (SR) supply (reflecting mining capacity constraints and sharply rising input prices).

- **Slow capacity adjustment** - toward the long-run (LR) supply curve, which is presumed to be essentially horizontal at LR marginal production cost.
SR versus LR Supply Curves
How long would we expect a super cycle to last?

- Duration of metals-intensive development phase? (~20-30 years?)
- Speed of capacity expansion in response to demand boom? (~10 years)
  - Radetzki et al (*Resources Policy* 2008)
  - The speed of the LR supply response is critical for sustained demand expansion to give rise to a super cycle.
Causes of the Metal Price Retreat

- **Severe recession** in industrial countries and growth slowdown in developing countries.

- Sharp reversal of **speculative (hedge fund) investments** in commodities, in general, and metals and energy products, in particular?
  - Difficult to prove, but price reversal was very rapid!

- End of **excessive monetary expansion** causing commodity price overshooting behavior? See Jeff Frankel’s Harvard website.
III. Global Recession
IMF’s *World Economic Outlook* (WEO)

- Published by International Monetary Fund (IMF) twice a year.
- Provides an informed analysis of the state of the global economy – free online:
  

- IMF monitors global activity in the World Economic Outlook published bi-annually.
WEO Updates are provided when there are important changes in outlook to report.

- WEO -- April 2008
- **WEO Update** -- July 2008
- WEO -- Oct 2008
- **WEO Update** -- Nov 2008
- **WEO Update** -- Jan 2009
- WEO -- April 2009 (?)
Global Slowdown in GDP Growth?

Figure 1. GDP Growth
(Percent change)

Source: IMF staff estimates.
Latest IMF Global GDP Projections (1/28/09 news conference)

- Global GDP Growth revised sharply downward (again) by \(-1.75\%\)
- November 2008: +2.25%
- January 28, 2009: +0.5\%
## Latest IMF projections

(year over year percent change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Difference from 2008 WEO projections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>World output</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced economies</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-1.7 -0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-1.7 -0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro area</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-1.5 -0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.7 -0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.4 -0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.5 -0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.0 -0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-2.4 -0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-1.5 -0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-1.5 -1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other advanced economies</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-3.9 -1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newly industrialized Asian economies</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-6.0 -1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emerging market and developing economies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-1.8 -1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>-1.4 -0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central and eastern Europe</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-1.6 -0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth of Independent States</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-2.6 -1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-3.6 -2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluding Russia</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-4.2 -3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing Asia</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>-1.6 -1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-1.8 -1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-1.2 -0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASEAN-5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-1.5 -1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>-1.5 -0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Hemisphere</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-1.4 -1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-1.2 -1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-1.2 -1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IMF, World Economic Outlook, January 2009.

1. The quarterly estimates and projections account for 90 percent of the world purchasing-power-parity weights.
2. The quarterly estimates and projections account for approximately 76 percent of the emerging and developing economies.
Macro and Financial Environment: A Downward Spiral

- Pernicious feedback loop between real sector (esp. housing) and financial sectors leading to decreased household wealth, consumer demand, and business confidence re: capital investment.

- Falling global demand has “led to a collapse in commodity prices” and “has reversed the commodity price boom.”

  - Oil – 2009 forecasted prices revised down to $50 from $68.
Policy Prescription – Key Ingredients

• A large global aggregate demand stimulus package to offset the collapse in private investment and consumption spending.
  ▫ Monetary policy options in U.S. are limited
  ▫ Emphasis on fiscal stimulus (G vs. T)

• Stabilizing housing values
• Resolving banking crisis -- crisis of confidence and massive ‘bad ‘loan’ assets.
• Forsaking protectionism
• Mitigating the longer-term debt burden on the future growth trajectory of the global economy.
**Economic stimulus, improved liquidity**

In addition to the steps taken in China, the latest stimulus package for the US is currently negotiating its way through the House and the Senate and is expected to become law by the end of the month. Furthermore, there are economic stimulus packages in place for most major economies—see Exhibit 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit 3: Global stimulus packages</th>
<th>Stimulus package (US$b)</th>
<th>2007 GDP (US$ trillion)</th>
<th>% of national GDP</th>
<th>Interest rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>825.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>586.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>152.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>13.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.29</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 This amount is in addition to the US$700b bailout package approved by Congress in October 2008 for US financial institutions; the amount is also under consideration to be reduced to US$700b for political reasons.

IV. Business Cycles vs. Super Cycles
Business Cycles and Super Cycles

- Cuddington-Jerrett (2008) focus on super-cycle frequencies, but highlight the possibility that business-cycle contractions may obscure super-cycle behavior:
  
  - “The extent to which the super-cycle component differs from the total non-trend component in the lower panel reflects the importance of other shorter cycles (such as business and intermediate term cycles).”
  
  - “Even if one has confidence about the long-term trend and the super cycle in copper prices, the shorter cycles imply large price risks for those in the industry making long-run investment decisions.”
Business Cycles and Super Cycles - cont.

• What can we learn by examining the overlay of business cycles on super cycles?

• In the lower panel of the decomposition graphs that follow, you’ll see the super cycles.
  ▫ The Difference between the total non-trend component (green) and the super cycle (black) captures short-term business cycle movements.
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V. Implications of a Business-Cycle Downturn on Super Cycles
Impact of Biz Cycle Downturn?
Demand-Side Considerations

- Temporary softening of global (esp. Chinese) growth will moderate metals’ demand.
  - IMF still forecasts +6.7% GDP growth for China in 2009 (even after the 1/28/09 downward revision).

- Industrial Country recession will be accompanied by sharp reduction in investment spending (construction, housing) >> reduced metal demand
Ross Bhappu of Denver’s Resource Capital Funds

- Ross argued at a recent 40th Anniversary celebration for the Mineral Economics program at CSM that the long-term metal market fundamentals remain strong.

- Several of his PP slides follow (with thanks!)

- http://www.resourcecapitalfunds.com
Mining Remains a Growth Story

- Copper demand is steadily growing and is forecast to increase to over 22Mt by 2012, a CAGR 4-5%

- Intensity of use increasing in emerging markets - driven by urbanization / infrastructure development

![Graphs showing World Copper Demand and Copper Intensity of Use](Source: MEI)
Impact of Biz Cycle Downturn? 
Supply-Side Considerations

• Mining companies have slashed capacity expansion programs.

• Credit markets are frozen, so external sources of funding for capacity expansion is hard to come by.

• The “option value of waiting” before undertaking additional capital expansion in mining is very high, esp. given recent price retreat.

• Prices of key mining inputs will fall and availability will improve – energy input prices, tires, etc.

• Bulk transport costs and time lags have fallen (at least until very recently when Baltic Dry Freight Index began to rise again).
Supply Side Constraints – Operating Costs

- Historically operating costs fell on a regular basis
  - For the first time we are seeing a dramatic cost increase

**Cumulative Copper Mine Cash Costs**

- 2002 Cash Costs
- 2004 Cash Costs
- 2008 Cash Costs

Source: AME
Mining Capex to Collapse in 2009

Capital Expenditure for the Global Mining Industry (US$bn)

- 1990: 46
- 1991: 44
- 1992: 42
- 1993: 40
- 1994: 43
- 1995: 53
- 1996: 56
- 1997: 61
- 1998: 51
- 1999: 48
- 2000: 42
- 2001: 33
- 2002: 37
- 2003: 43
- 2004: 59
- 2005: 72
- 2006: 92
- 2007: 108
- 2008: 116
- 2009E: 62
- 2010E: 52

Exploration
Investment

Source: McKinsey Mining Database; RMG
VI. Concluding Remarks

• So Long Super Cycle? We think not, but only time will tell.

• We believe the demand-side drivers for the current super cycle are still in play.

• Strong upward metal price movements over the next couple of years have probably been choked off by the global downturn, which we expect to be severe in terms of depth and duration.

• LT capacity expansion “catch up” described in Radetzki et al (Resources Policy 2008) is being delayed.

• When the global economy emerges from recession, supply will again be struggling to catch up with demand.
Thank you for inviting me to speak at the IPIA meetings again this year.

Please support our faculty and graduate student research with much-needed financial contributions to the CSM Foundation:

http://www.mines.edu/CorporatePartners