High-speed particle separation and steric inversion in thin flow field-flow fractionation channels
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Abstract

The performance of thin (down to 71 μm thick) flow field-flow fractionation (flow FFF) channels was examined at both high channel flow-rates (up to ~47 ml/min) and cross flow-rates (up to ~11 ml/min). High levels of retention were observed, suggestive of good performance characteristics. Supporting this expectation, four sizes (0.04–0.30 μm) of polystyrene latex microbeads were baseline separated in under 3 min. Nonetheless, a plate height analysis showed that performance was still less than theoretically expected.

Fast steric-hyperlayer separations of larger latex beads were observed in the same systems. Furthermore, it was shown that the steric inversion diameter was shifted down to ~0.23 μm, thus expanding the size range to which this FFF mode is applicable. The steric inversion phenomenon observed using narrow latex standards was shown to be consistent with that found for a polydisperse polyvinylchloride latex.
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1. Introduction

Field-flow fractionation (FFF) is a family of separation and characterization techniques that has been applied to many different macromolecular and particulate samples [1,2]. Separation takes place in a channel that is constructed by removing a rectangular section with triangular ends from a thin plastic spacer. The spacer is clamped between two walls and a stream of carrier liquid is introduced to transport sample components down the length of the channel. Flow is characterized by a parabolic profile established across the thickness w of the channel (see Fig. 1a). The flow velocity is fastest at the center of the channel and decreases to zero at the walls because of frictional drag. A field is applied in a direction perpendicular to the flow axis to drive the component particles (or polymers) towards one wall (the accumulation wall). This field-induced migration is counteracted by the opposing transport of particles due to their diffusion away from the wall. At equilibrium, exponential concentration distributions of different mean layer thicknesses (e.g., l₁, l₂,...) are established at the accumulation wall for each different component [3]. Smaller particles, which have larger diffusion coefficients, will generally have larger mean layer thicknesses and will be transported by faster flowing streamlines of the parabolic flow than their larger counterparts (see Fig. 1a). Thus,
small particles elute before large particles. This elution sequence, characterizing the normal mode of FFF operation, is found for most macromolecules and submicron particles.

Any ‘field’ that induces migration of the sample to the accumulation wall can be used. The most common FFF fields include crossflow, sedimentation, thermal gradient, and electrical [1,2]. The work reported in this paper was done using a crossflow of liquid as the field and is termed flow FFF.

According to the Stokes–Einstein equation [4], the diffusion coefficient is inversely proportional to particle diameter $d$. For diameters larger than approximately $1 \mu$m, diffusion is insignificant and another mode of separation prevails. In this steric-hyperlayer mode, particles experience steric effects and hydrodynamic lift forces which drive them away from the wall [5–7]. For each particle size, an equilibrium position is established at the point where the force of the applied field is equal to the opposing lift forces. Because larger particles experience larger lift forces, the larger particles form equilibrium bands further from the accumulation wall and are transported more rapidly down the channel than smaller particles (see Fig. 1b) [5–7]. The elution order is opposite to that of the normal mode.

The steric inversion diameter $d_i$, which signifies the point of transition between the normal and the steric-hyperlayer modes, has been reported to occur between 0.3–3 $\mu$m [8–10]. A sample with a broad size distribution that spans across the steric inversion diameter (e.g., 0.2–10 $\mu$m) will experience coelution of two components of different $d$ values at any one time, the smaller component eluting in the normal mode and the larger in the steric-hyperlayer mode. The steric inversion diameter is dependent on experimental parameters with $d_i$ generally expected to decrease with increasing field strength and channel flow velocity (or shear rate) [8]. One objective of this work has been to find out if $d_i$ can be reduced below typical values (0.5–1.0 $\mu$m) found for flow FFF by such means. Shifting $d_i$ to either smaller or larger values may allow separation of the entire sample size range in only one mode.

A second objective of this study is to determine if separative performance in flow FFF can be enhanced by using high field strengths and shear rates. Because shear rate increases inversely with the square of channel thickness at constant flow-rate, thin channels are essential for such an investigation.

2. Theory

The retention ratio $R$ is the ratio of the void time (time required for the channel flow to sweep out one channel volume) to the retention time (time required for the sample component to elute). The retention ratio can also be written as [10]

$$R = 6\lambda + 3 \frac{\gamma d}{w}$$

(1)

where $\lambda$ is the retention parameter (equal to $l$ divided by the channel thickness $w$) and $\gamma$ is the dimensionless steric correction factor (generally of order unity and assumed to equal unity in this work). For very small particles, the second term on the right in Eq. (1) becomes negligible because $d/w$ is small in
comparision to $l/w$ (or $\lambda$), which is large as a result of 
the fast diffusion of small particles. As a result, $R$ in 
the normal mode is often approximated as $6\lambda$. Thus, 
for normal mode flow FFF, $R$ becomes [11]

$$R \equiv 6\lambda = \frac{6DbL}{wV_c}$$

(2)

where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, $b$ is the channel 
breadth, $L$ is the volume-based channel length, and $V_c$ is the cross flow-rate. This approximation over-
estimates $R$ by 1.0% for $R=0.03$ and by 5.6% for $R=0.15$.

For very large particles, $d/lw$ is significant and the slow diffusion causes $l/w$ to be small. Consequently, $R$ in the steric-hyperlayer mode approaches $3yd/lw$. 
Eq. (1) is used for particles of intermediate size 
where both mechanisms have a significant role in 
retention.

For simplicity, the $\gamma$ factor is usually set as unity. 
However, experimentally derived $\gamma$ values have been 
shown to be both greater than and less than unity ($\gamma$ 
must be greater than zero for any observed peak) 
[12,13]. Values of $\gamma > 1$ are caused by hydrodynamic 
forces that drive particles away from the wall 
[5,7]. The magnitude of these lift forces increases 
with increasing channel flow velocities. Values of $\gamma < 1$ are caused by retardation effects that decrease 
the particle’s velocity relative to the surrounding 
fluid as the particle is forced close to the accumulation 
wall [14]. Retardation effects become more pronounced when low channel flow velocities and 
high field strengths are used. Consequently, the value for $\gamma$ varies as a function of experimental conditions 
and the interplay between hydrodynamic lift forces and retardation effects on particles.

Band broadening in FFF systems can be measured by 
the plate height $H$, comprised of the following 
components [3,15]

$$H = H_D + H_n + H_p + \Sigma H_i$$

(3)

where $H_D$ is the longitudinal diffusion contribution, 
$H_n$ is due to nonequilibrium band broadening, $H_p$ is 
the sample polydispersity contribution, and $\Sigma H_i$ is 
the sum of contributions due to system non-
idealities. The $H_D$ term is usually negligible in FFF 
because of slow sample diffusion [15]. The $\Sigma H_i$ term is negligible for analyses in ideal FFF systems but 
may make a significant contribution to $H$ in some 
real systems. The main contributors to plate height 
considered here are $H_n$ and $H_a$. For perspective, it 
should be kept in mind that $H_p$ is not a true band 
broadening term but is a separation term in which a 
polydisperse sample is fractionated into differently 
positioned components, giving an apparent band 
broadening effect as a result of separation.

Since the latex particles used in this work possess 
a finite size distribution, there is a significant poly-
dispersity contribution to the plate height which can 
be calculated as [15]

$$H_p = LS_\delta^2 \left( \frac{\sigma_d}{d} \right)^2$$

(4)

where $S_\delta$ is the diameter based selectivity (equal to 
unity for flow FFF) and $\sigma_d$ is the standard deviation 
in the particle diameter of the sample.

Nonequilibrium band broadening occurs when 
particles of a given size diffuse into different flow 
velocity streamlines and are momentarily transported 
faster or slower than the average speed. The magni-
itude of $H_n$ is dependent on $\lambda$, $w$, $d$, and the mean 
channel flow velocity $\langle \nu \rangle$ as shown by the following 
equation derived from reference [16]

$$H_n = \frac{244(1 - 10\lambda + 28\lambda^2) w^2 \langle \nu \rangle}{(d/2w) + \lambda(1 - 2\lambda)}$$

(5)

The above equation, which corrects for steric effects 
and applies when $R=0.5$ and $d=\lambda$, predicts that $H_n$ 
will increase linearly with increasing flow velocity. 
To reduce $H_n$, most of the early work in normal 
mode flow FFF utilized low channel flow-rates 
(<1.8 ml/min). For example, the first separation of 
polystyrene latex beads was obtained using a channel 
flow-rate $V$ of 0.1 ml/min and a cross flow-rate $V_c$ of 
0.21 ml/min [17]. Separation of the latex beads 
was influenced by size from 0.091 $\mu$m to 0.481 $\mu$m took 7 h 
and produced poorly resolved peaks. In 1986, Wahlund et al. [11] showed that the separation of a mixture of $M_0 = 35 000$–750 000 sulfonated polystyrene could be achieved in less than 40 min when a high channel flow-rate of 7.2 ml/min was used. 
More recently, Botana et al. [18] reported separations 
of submicron polystyrene latex particles using channel 
flow-rates of 8 ml/min.

In this paper, we show that high flow-rates can be 
used effectively despite the linear dependence of $H_n$. 

on \( \nu \). This dependence is offset by using flow-rates (which, as shown by Eq. (2), reduce \( \lambda \)) and thin channels (which will be discussed later in this paper).

3. Experimental

The flow FFF channel system was assembled by sandwiching a Mylar spacer with the channel form cut out and a semipermeable membrane (YM10, Amicon, Danvers, MA, USA) between two Plexiglas blocks with inset ceramic frit panels [19]. The frits allow free passage of the crossflow liquid across the channel. Sample is prevented from flushing out through a frit by the membrane that is layered over the frit to form the accumulation wall. A variety of different channels was assembled using Mylar spacers of different thicknesses. All of the channels had dimensions of 38.4 cm tip-to-tip length, 2.0 cm breadth, and thicknesses ranging between 71 and 179 \( \mu \)m.

The channel breadth and length are determined by the dimensions of the Mylar spacer. However, the channel thickness \( w \) is not necessarily equal to the spacer thickness because of the compressibility of the membrane [19,20]. Different channel thicknesses can result upon reassembly of the channel with a different strip of membrane. Consequently, the \( w \) values for each channel were calculated from the sterically corrected retention times [16] of particle standards of known diameters. The values reported in this work are an average of determinations using 3 to 4 different standards.

Two different channel and crossflow pump combinations were used depending on whether the desired flow-rates were below or above 10 ml/min. In the former case, the channel and cross flows were controlled by a Spectra-Physics Isochrom LC pump (San Jose, CA, USA) and a syringe pump (built-in-house), respectively. In the latter case, an FMI QD pump (Oyster Bay, NY, USA) was used to control \( \dot{V} \), a Spectra-Physics Isochrom LC pump was used for \( \dot{V}_c \), and a syringe pump was used to sweep the sample plug into the channel at a low flow-rate (e.g. 1 ml/min). A variable pressure regulator (Optimize Technologies, Bend, OR, USA) was used to ensure that the incoming and outgoing \( \dot{V} \) (and consequently the \( \dot{V}_c \)) were equal by providing additional back pressure as needed on the \( \dot{V} \) or \( \dot{V}_c \) outlet. Precise volumes were injected using an injection valve with a 20 \( \mu \)l sample loop (Valco Instruments, Houston, TX, USA). Detection of eluting particles was accomplished with a Linear UV-106 detector (Thermo Separation Products, Fremont, CA, USA) set at 254 nm. Data were recorded using a Quanti- graph chart recorder (Houston Instruments, Austin, TX, USA) and an IBM-PC compatible computer.

The stopflow method of injection [21], which was used in this work, involves transport of the sample into the channel, diverting the channel flow to allow the sample components to relax to their respective equilibrium positions in the presence of only the crossflow, and then resuming the channel flow for the separation and elution stage. Reproducible placement of the sample plug on the accumulation wall for stopflow relaxation is essential for reproducible results. In experiments involving high \( \dot{V} \), manually diverting the channel flow at precisely the desired time becomes difficult. For \( \dot{V} > 10 \) ml/min, a computer-controlled Rheodyne 7163 pneumatic valve (Cotati, CA, USA) was used to reproducibly implement stopflow and start a run. Another alternative used in this work involved sample introduction using a low \( \dot{V} \), sample relaxation with no channel flow, and separation using a high \( \dot{V} \). This setup employs a four-way valve to connect either the high or low flow-rate pump to the FFF system. The use of one pump for both sample introduction and separation was impractical because of the short stopflow times (4 s for \( \dot{V}_c = 10.7 \) ml/min and a 0.63 ml channel volume).

The carrier was doubly distilled water containing 0.1% by volume of the surfactant FL-70 (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA) and 0.02% (w/w) sodium azide. All particle standards were obtained from Duke Scientific (Palo Alto, CA, USA) and Seradyne, (Indianapolis, IN, USA). These consisted of polystyrene (PS) latex microbeads with nominal diameters and standard deviations in the diameters of 0.040 \( \mu \)m\( \pm 0.0018 \) \( \mu \)m, 0.091\( \pm 0.0058 \), 0.135\( \pm 0.0057 \), and 0.300\( \pm 0.003 \); additional microbeads used in the steric inversion work had nominal diameters of 0.054 \( \mu \)m, 0.107, 0.220, 0.232, 0.330, 0.398, 0.426, 0.496, 0.596, 0.652, 0.742, 0.806, 0.845, 0.868, 0.913, 0.993, 1.335, 1.635, 2.010, and 2.062 \( \mu \)m. The polyvinylchloride (PVC)
latex was obtained from an industrial source and had a diameter range of 0.2 μm to 2 μm.

An S-450 scanning electron microscope (Hitachi Scientific Instruments, Tokyo, Japan) was used to examine fractions collected at the detector outlet. The fractions were deposited on a 13 mm Nucleopore membrane filter (0.1 μm pores) which was then mounted on an aluminum stub. The particles were coated with a thin layer of gold and palladium. Typical magnifications were 6000× with an acceleration voltage of 20 kV.

4. Results and discussion

A normal mode flow FFF separation of four latex bead sizes achieved in an 85 μm thick channel is shown in Fig. 2. The latex beads were separated with near baseline resolution in less than 3 min. The channel flow-rate of 29.9 ml/min (or \( \nu = 29 \, \text{cm/s} \)) is among the highest used to date for normal mode separations. Because of the thin channel, the shear rate at the wall, \( \dot{\gamma} / \nu \), was extraordinarily high, \( 2.0 \times 10^5 \, \text{s}^{-1} \). A correspondingly high \( V_c \) of 10.7 ml/min was required to resolve the peaks from the system transient.

In previous works, the use of high \( V_c \) has resulted in reversible immobilization of sample on the membrane [6,11]. Consequently, it has not been possible to work at the low \( \lambda \) and retention ratio levels required for optimum efficiency (see Eq. (5)). However, the latex beads shown in Fig. 2 are highly retained with \( R \) values ranging from 0.031 to 0.0076 (corresponding to retention volumes of 33 to 132 channel volumes). The mechanism at play in making possible this unusually high speed separation at high \( V \) and \( V_c \) is not fully understood and warrants more in-depth study. In particular, the role of the high shear rate in preventing reversible immobilization (a poorly understood phenomenon) should be investigated. There is little doubt that the key structural feature giving rise to such extreme operating conditions as used here is the thinness of the channel.

The small \( w \) leads to high shear rates which appears to make operation at smaller \( \lambda \) values possible. The cross flow-rate \( V_c \) must be increased in inverse proportion to \( w \) (see Eq. (2)) just to keep \( \lambda \) constant; it must increase further if \( \lambda \) is to be reduced in an attempt to reach higher efficiencies (see Eq. (5)). With a small \( \lambda \) and \( w \) both reducing \( H \), the channel flow-rate \( V \) can be substantially increased in a quest for higher speed. It should be noted that the cross flow-rate used here, although high, is well below the critical value of 310 ml/min (calculated for this channel) where perturbation of the parabolic flow profile by the crossflow would cause 1% error in the retention ratio [22].

The various experimental and theoretical plate heights pertaining to Fig. 2 are summarized in Table 1. The plate height obtained by direct measurement of the peak width for each particle size standard is tabulated as \( H_{(\text{obs})} \). The minimum \( H_{(\text{obs})} \) value found in previous normal mode flow FFF work with protein standards (assumed to be monodisperse) has been in
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Fig. 2. Fractogram showing normal mode separation of PS latexes of indicated diameters at high flow-rates. The channel thickness \( w = 85 \mu m \) and the flow-rates are \( V = 29.9 \, \text{ml/min} \) and \( V_c = 10.7 \, \text{ml/min} \). Void time \( t^0 \) is shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( d ) (μm)</th>
<th>( H_{(\text{obs})} ) (mm)</th>
<th>( H_{\nu} ) (mm)</th>
<th>( H_{(\text{theor})}^a ) (mm)</th>
<th>( H_{(\text{exp})}^b ) (mm)</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( N\lambda t^c ) (s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a \) \( H_{(\text{theor})} \) calculated using experimental \( \lambda \) and Eq. (5).

\( b \) \( H_{(\text{exp})} = H_{(\text{obs})} - H_{\nu} \).

\( c \) \( N\lambda t = L[H_{(\text{exp})} \times t] \).
the range of 2 mm [23]. For the asymmetric flow FFF variant, an \( H_{(obs)} \) value as low as 0.1 mm has been reported for virus particles, which can be considered to be a monodisperse sample [24]. The polydispersity corrected value \( H_{(exp)} \) of 0.24 mm obtained in this work (which should be the same as \( H_{(obs)} \) for a monodisperse sample) is quite remarkable considering the high flow velocity. (The \( H_{(obs)} \) for the 0.040 \( \mu \)m latex is relatively large and may be due in part to measurement errors introduced by the incomplete separation of the peak from the system transient.)

The polydispersity contribution \( H_p \) was calculated via Eq. (4) using the standard deviations (noted earlier) reported by the supplier. Eq. (5) was used for the calculation of theoretical \( H_\lambda \) values, shown in Table 1 as \( H_{(theor)} \). For this purpose, values for \( \lambda \) were calculated from the experimentally measured retention ratios as defined in Eq. (1). The results show that quite small \( H_\lambda \) values are theoretically possible at high flow-rates providing \( \lambda \) and \( w \) are small. The \( \lambda \) value for the 0.300 \( \mu \)m latex was calculated from Eq. (2) using the Stokes-Einstein equation to obtain \( D \). (We did not use Eq. (1) because the usual assumption that \( \gamma = 1 \) leads to a negative \( \lambda \) value.)

For a meaningful comparison of the experimental \( H \) with \( H_{(theor)} \), the observed plate height must be corrected for polydispersity giving \( H_{(exp)} \) in Table 1. Despite the significantly larger \( H_{(exp)} \) values than \( H_{(theor)} \) for all diameters, the former are among the lowest plate height values obtained for normal mode flow FFF. The discrepancy in \( H_{(exp)} \) and \( H_{(theor)} \) values obtained here are likely due to system imperfections.

The number of plates \( N \) (where \( N = L/H_{(exp)} \)) for each peak in Fig. 2 is tabulated in Table 1. Also the number of plates generated per unit time \( t \) was calculated and listed as \( N/t \). Up to \( \sim 20 \) plates/s were generated in this work. This is the highest \( N/t \) value yet reported for flow FFF.

Unlike the normal mode, good resolution in the steric-hyperlayer mode is usually observed at high flow-rates [2,6,9]. Fig. 3 is a fractogram of a steric-hyperlayer mode separation of four different latexes separated under the same conditions as those used in Fig. 2 \( (V = 29.9 \text{ ml/min, } V_c = 10.7 \text{ ml/min}) \). The well resolved peaks were again separated in less than 3 min. Using these conditions, \( d_i \) is found to be in the vicinity of 0.30 \( \mu \)m. Hence, the 0.30 \( \mu \)m latex could be resolved in either the normal or steric-hyperlayer mode separations as shown by Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

As discussed in the introduction, a sample with a broad size distribution that spans the steric inversion diameter \( d_i \) will experience separation by both the normal mode mechanism (for \( d < d_i \)) and steric-hyperlayer mechanism (for \( d > d_i \)). Such a sample was used to produce Fig. 4 using a channel with \( w = 75 \mu \)m and flow-rates of \( V = 6.15 \text{ ml/min and } V_c = 3.02 \text{ ml/min} \). This PVC latex sample has an approximate particle size range of 2 \( \mu \)m to 0.2 \( \mu \)m. Fractions were collected at the detector outlet in the time intervals indicated in Fig. 4. The scanning electron micrographs show the coelution of two distinctly different particle sizes for each fraction. The micrograph of the early eluting fraction 9 shows the presence of two discrete particle populations with a large diameter difference. This observation is much as expected since the smaller particles elute first in the normal mode and the larger particles elute first in the steric-hyperlayer mode. The difference in diameter of the two coeluting particle populations should decrease with increasing retention time; this trend is observed in the micrographs of fractions 17, 22, and 25. The series of micrographs confirm the major features expected of the steric inversion phenomenon. Similar results have been observed with sedimentation FFF [10].

The steric inversion diameter \( d_i \) is best determined by measuring \( R \) values for a series of narrow
polystyrene latex standards. The minimum in the plot of $R$ versus $d$ occurs at $d = d_i$. Using this method and similar experimental conditions to that employed for the PVC sample shown in Fig. 4, the $d_i$ was determined to be 0.40 $\mu$m.

The inversion diameter $d_i$ can be shifted by changing experimental conditions. Fig. 5 demonstrates the changes in the relationship between the retention ratio and the particle diameter when three different channels and flow-rate conditions are employed. Using a thick (179 $\mu$m) channel and low flow-rates ($V = 3.93$ ml/min, $V_e = 0.22$ ml/min), plot a is obtained; for this plot $d_i$ occurs at approximately 0.85 $\mu$m. When a thinner channel ($w = 85$ $\mu$m) and faster flow-rates ($V = 29.9$ ml/min, $V_e = 10.7$ ml/min) are used, plot b is produced and $d_i$ is shifted to approximately 0.30 $\mu$m. An even thinner channel ($w = 75$ $\mu$m) and higher flow-rates ($V = 47.0$ ml/min, $V_e = 10.8$ ml/min) results in plot c and a $d_i$ of 0.23 $\mu$m. The observed shifts in $d_i$ with increasing $V$ and $V_e$ are consistent with predictions made elsewhere [8].

Fractograms of narrow polystyrene latex standards and the broad PVC latex are superimposed in Fig. 6.
These fractograms were obtained in a channel with \( w = 71 \ \mu m \) at flow-rates of \( \dot{V} = 13.4 \ \text{ml/min} \) and \( \dot{V}_i = 2.95 \ \text{ml/min} \). The \( d_i \) value, identified as above from the narrow standards, is in the proximity of 0.3 \( \mu m \). No particles, no matter what their size or composition, should elute at a time beyond that for \( d_i \). The fractograms of Fig. 6, if we ignore the low lying tails, are consistent with this concept, yielding no significant signal beyond 3 min.

5. Conclusion

While the present study demonstrates that high efficiency, high speed, and reduced \( d_i \) values can be achieved in unusually thin FFF channels, our calculations show that performance still falls short of theoretical expectations. To further improve performance, more work is needed to elucidate and in general find means to circumvent the roles of reversible immobilization, membrane heterogeneity, channel nonuniformity, and other nonidealities in flow FFF separation.

6. Symbols

- \( b \) Channel breadth
- \( d \) Particle diameter
- \( d_i \) Steric inversion diameter
- \( D \) Diffusion coefficient
- \( H \) Plate height
- \( H_D \) Plate height contribution from longitudinal diffusion
- \( H_{\text{exp}} \) Experimentally measured plate height corrected for polydispersity
- \( H_n \) Plate height contribution from nonequilibrium band broadening
- \( H_{\text{nl( theor)}} \) \( H_n \) calculated from Eq. (5) using measured retention ratios
- \( H_{\text{obs}} \) Experimentally measured plate height
- \( H_p \) Plate height contribution from sample polydispersity
- \( \Sigma H_i \) Sum of plate height contributions due to system non-idealities
- \( l \) Mean layer thickness (mean distance of particle from accumulation wall)
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