Evidence for Changing of Cosmic Ray Composition between 10^{17} and 10^{18} eV from Multicomponent Measurements
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The average mass composition of cosmic rays with primary energies between 10^{17} and 10^{18} eV has been studied using a hybrid detector consisting of the High Resolution Fly’s Eye (HiRes) prototype and the MIA muon array. Measurements have been made of the change in the depth of shower maximum and the muon density as a function of energy. The results show that the composition is changing from a heavy to lighter mix as the energy increases.

PACS numbers: 96.40.De, 95.55.Vj, 96.40.Pq, 98.70.Sa

The source of cosmic rays with particle energies above 10^{14} eV is still unknown. Models of origin, acceleration, and propagation must be evaluated in light of the observed energy spectrum and chemical composition of the cosmic rays. Several experiments have attempted to determine the mean cosmic ray composition through the “knee” region, 10^{15}–10^{16} eV, of the spectrum [1]. While the results are not in complete agreement, there is some consensus for a composition becoming heavier at energies above the knee, a result consistent with charge-dependent acceleration theories or rigidity-dependent escape models. In the region above the knee, the Fly’s Eye experiment has reported a changing composition from a heavy mix around 10^{17} eV to a proton dominated flux around 10^{19} eV [2]. Muon data from the AGASA experiment show broad agreement with this trend if the data are interpreted using the same hadronic interaction model as in the Fly’s Eye analysis [3,4].

Our experiment is unique in that two normally independent detection techniques are employed simultaneously in the measurement of various aspects of extensive air showers (EAS). We use a hybrid detector consisting of the prototype High Resolution Fly’s Eye (HiRes) air fluorescence detector and the Michigan muon array (MIA). The detectors are located in the western desert of Utah at 112° W longitude and 40° N latitude. The HiRes detector is situated at a vertical atmospheric depth of 860 g/cm^2 overlooking the MIA array which is 3.4 km away and 150 m lower.
observed in the shower [9]. An estimate of the muon density at 600 m from the core, $\rho(600)$, is then determined by a fit.

It is expected that changes in the mean mass of the cosmic ray flux as a function of $E$ will be manifested as changes in the mean values of two measurable quantities $X_{\text{max}}$ and $\rho(600)$. To indicate those changes, a rate of change of $X_{\text{max}}$ with $\log E$, called the elongation rate, $\alpha$, has been introduced. Similarly for muons, we define a power law index for $\rho(600)$ as a function of $E$, called the “m content index,” $\beta$, in this study. Hence,

$$\alpha = \frac{dX_{\text{max}}}{d \log E} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{d \log \rho(600)}{d \log E}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Assuming that a shower initiated by a nucleus of mass number $A$ and energy $E$ is a superposition of $A$ subshower each with energy $E/A$, $X_{\text{max}} \propto \alpha \log(E/A)$ and $\rho(600) \propto A(E/A)^{\beta}$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are for a pure beam of primary nuclei of mass $A$. The values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are dependent on the hadronic interaction model, but we find them largely independent of $A$ in our simulations described below. Therefore, any deviation of our observed elongation rate, $\alpha$, and m content index, $\beta$, from those for pure composition imply a changing composition, i.e.,

$$\frac{d \log A}{d \log E} = -\frac{\alpha - \alpha_0}{\alpha_0} = \frac{\beta - \beta_0}{1 - \beta_0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Since the superposition model is not fully realistic, a more reliable comparison between the data and predictions is based on detailed simulation of shower development described below.

HiRes/MIA coincident data were collected on clear moonless nights between 23 August 1993 and 24 August 1996. The total coincident exposure time was 2878 h corresponding to a duty cycle of 10.2%. 4034 coincident events were observed. For events passing a coincidence assurance cuts the shower trajectory, including arrival direction and core location for each event, was obtained in an iterative procedure using the information from both HiRes and MIA [10]. The accuracy of the shower axis determination depends on the number of observed muons, the HiRes angular track length, and the core distances from MIA and HiRes. 2491 events are reconstructed via this procedure. Monte Carlo (MC) studies [11] show that the median shower direction error is 0.85$^\circ$ with a median core location error of 45 m. The energy $X_{\text{max}}$ and $\rho(600)$ are determined using this shower geometry as described above.

To ensure data quality and maintain good resolution we required that for each event $X_{\text{max}}$ be visible within a minimum observed slant depth interval of 250 g/cm$^2$ and that the track subtends at least 20$^\circ$. Additionally, the $X_{\text{max}}$ uncertainty had to be less than 50 g/cm$^2$, the reduced $\chi^2$ for the profile fit could not exceed 10, the MIA to core distance $R_{\text{PMIA}}$ had to be less than 2000 m, and the minimum pixel viewing angle had to be greater than 10$^\circ$. These cuts left a sample of 891 events. Analysis involving $\rho(600)$ required that the following additional cuts be imposed: $300 < R_{\text{PMIA}} < 1000$ m, and the number of hit MIA counters $N_{\text{hit}} \leq 700$. 573 events remained. Monte Carlo studies [11] using these cuts show that, averaged over the range of energies studied, the energy resolution is between 10% and 16% (iron showers and proton shower, respectively) and the $X_{\text{max}}$ resolution is 44 g/cm$^2$ for both shower types. These resolution figures are slow functions of energy. For example, for iron showers the resolution in energy changes from 11% at $10^{17}$ eV to 6% at $10^{18}$ eV, and the $X_{\text{max}}$ resolution changes from 48 to 41 g/cm$^2$ over the same range. In comparison, the energy resolution of the original Fly’s Eye experiment is 33% (single site) and 24% (stereo) below $2 \times 10^{18}$ eV [12]; the $X_{\text{max}}$ resolution is about 50 g/cm$^2$ averaged over a broader energy range up to $10^{19}$ eV [2]. The MIA resolution in $\rho(600)$ is 30% and is independent of energy. It is largely determined by the resolution in core location, which is about 40 m.

The energy dependence of $X_{\text{max}}$ and $\rho(600)$ are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The bands represent the statistical and systematic uncertainties in the mean values at each energy. The measured elongation rate is $93.0 \pm 8.5 \pm (10.5)$ (g/cm$^2$/decade) over the observed energy range. The measured m content index is $0.73 \pm 0.03 \pm (0.02)$ decade$^{-1}$. Bracketed numbers provide the systematic error based on the following discussion.

The systematic errors in $\rho(600)$ stem from the uncertainties in the absolute efficiencies of the MIA counters over time. The average efficiency is 80.7% with an rms of 4.7% over the 16 patches during the time the data were taken. This is the only significant systematic uncertainty associated with $\rho(600)$.

For $X_{\text{max}}$, we have considered systematic errors in the atmospheric transmission of light and in the production

![FIG. 1. Average $X_{\text{max}}$ increasing with energy. Shaded areas and the thick line within the area represent HiRes data and the best fit of the data, respectively. The closed triangles represent the data set corresponding to the central values of the parameters in the reconstruction. The circles, squares, and lines refer to the simulation results. See text for details.](image-url)
of Cerenkov light. These are related since atmospherically scattered Cerenkov light can masquerade as fluorescence light if not accounted for properly. For atmospheric scattering, there was uncertainty in the aerosol concentration and vertical distribution. The uncertainty, equivalent to 1 standard deviation about the mean, is expressed as a range of possible horizontal extinction lengths for aerosol scattering at 350 nm (taken as 11 to 17 km based on measurements using xenon flashers) [13] and a range of scale heights for the vertical distribution of aerosol density above the mixing layer (taken as 0.6 to 1.8 km). For Cerenkov light production, we have varied the angular scale for the Cerenkov emission angle over 1 standard deviation about the mean, is expressed as a decade and a μ content index of β0 = 0.83 ± 0.01/decade over the range from 10^{17} to 10^{18} eV. For a pure iron composition and the QGSJet model we find corresponding values of α0 = 60.9 ± 1.1 (g/cm²)/decade and the same β0 = 0.83 ± 0.01 (g/cm²)/decade as for protons. Results from SIBYLL show similar elongation rates, but have the X_{max} approximately 25 g/cm² deeper than QGSJet. SIBYLL also predicts significantly fewer muons at 600 m for both proton and iron showers. The effect of any triggering and reconstruction biases is very small for X_{max}, as can be seen in Fig. 1 by comparing these reconstructed data (dots) with the “input” (lines) directly from CORSIKA. The application of well chosen cuts has resulted in a bias-free measurement of the elongation rate. However, for muon density measurement, reconstruction effects change the index by 8%. We suspect that the presence of an asymmetry in core distance error can result in a small overestimate of the muon density. This effect may change with shower energy. We have looked into the possibility of a bias due to the influence of the maximum muon hit cut. However, low energy showers are detected with cores relatively close to MIA while higher energy showers have more distant cores. As a result, the number of muon counters hit is approximately independent of energy, resulting in minimal biasing.

We find that a pure proton flux and the QGSJet model gives an elongation rate of α0 = 58.5 ± 1.3 (g/cm²)/decade and a μ content index of β0 = 0.83 ± 0.01/decade over the range from 10^{17} to 10^{18} eV. For a pure iron composition and the QGSJet model we find corresponding values of α0 = 60.9 ± 1.1 (g/cm²)/decade and the same β0 = 0.83 ± 0.01 (g/cm²)/decade as for protons. Results from SIBYLL show similar elongation rates, but have the X_{max} approximately 25 g/cm² deeper than QGSJet. SIBYLL also predicts significantly fewer muons at 600 m for both proton and iron showers. The effect of any triggering and reconstruction biases is very small for X_{max}, as can be seen in Fig. 1 by comparing these reconstructed data (dots) with the “input” (lines) directly from CORSIKA. The application of well chosen cuts has resulted in a bias-free measurement of the elongation rate. However, for muon density measurement, reconstruction effects change the index by 8%. We suspect that the presence of an asymmetry in core distance error can result in a small overestimate of the muon density. This effect may change with shower energy. We have looked into the possibility of a bias due to the influence of the maximum muon hit cut. However, low energy showers are detected with cores relatively close to MIA while higher energy showers have more distant cores. As a result, the number of muon counters hit is approximately independent of energy, resulting in minimal biasing.

We obtain an apparently larger elongation rate and a smaller μ content index than those from the simulation based on a single chemical primary, either proton or iron. Both discrepancies, in the same direction, lend support to the hypothesis that the cosmic ray composition is changing towards a lighter mix of nuclei from 10^{17} to 10^{18} eV. HiRes and MIA reach the same conclusion by using different experimental techniques and measuring different

**FIG. 2.** Average muon density at 600 m from the shower core. Same as Fig. 1.
physics variables. Substituting the measured and simulated values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in (2) shows that the results from HiRes and MIA are consistent with an implied change in $\Delta\ln A$ of about $-1.28$ over one decade of energy.

It can be seen from Fig. 2 that at low energy the average measured muon density is larger than that predicted for pure iron showers. The predictions of $\rho_\mu(600\text{ m})$ with SIBYLL (not shown) are even smaller. We have exhausted possible systematic differences between data and MC such as effects of cross talk, noise, punch through, and possible variation in the overburden. These effects were measured [8] and found to be negligible in their contribution to the observed difference. The value of $\rho_\mu(600\text{ m}) = 0.24 \pm 0.02 \pm (0.02)\text{ m}^{-2}$ for this work is consistent with the AGASA experiment ($\sim 0.25/\text{m}^2$ [3]) at $3 \times 10^{17} \text{ eV}$. Although the atmospheric depth and threshold energy are different between the two experiments, a MC simulation based on CORSIKA using the QGSJET model shows that the resulting difference in $\rho_\mu(600\text{ m})$ is about 0.027 m$^{-2}$.

A shift of $40\%$ in energy could eliminate the discrepancy between data and MC, but this is well beyond the systematic error of $25\%$. Such a shift would also produce large discrepancies in the distribution of geometrical variables between data and simulation. We conclude that the model is deficient in muon production. Evidence for this has also been found at lower EAS energies [18].

We conclude that the HiRes-MIA hybrid experiment confirms the Fly’s Eye experiment result that the elongation rate is different from simulation with an unchanging composition, although the hadronic interaction models have been modernized and the detector resolution in energy and $X_{\text{max}}$ is improved. Moreover, this confirmation is significant because of its unique combination of the simultaneous observation of shower longitudinal development and muon density on the ground. Within the error, the elongation rate observed in this experiment, $93.0 \pm 8.5 \pm (10.5)\text{ g/cm}^2$/decade, is consistent with $78.9 \pm 3.0\text{ g/cm}^2$/decade from Fly’s Eye (quoted without systematic error) and that from Afanasiev et al. [19]. While the conclusion regarding the primary composition depends on the interaction model used, this study shows that the elongation rate is relatively stable with respect to choice of models. No modern interaction model has produced an $\alpha_0$ much larger than 60 (g/cm$^2$)/decade.

The Fly’s Eye experiment [2] reports a change in the spectral index near $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ eV}$ in the stereo data. Such a break followed by a hardening of the spectrum has been interpreted as evidence for the emergence of an extragalactic component above a softer galactic component [2]. A change from a heavy to a light composition in this energy region also gives support to a changing origin for those cosmic rays. A number of new experiments, such as HiRes, the Pierre Auger Project, and the Telescope Array, could address this issue. However, the source of the lower energy heavy composition remains a mystery. In that regard we note that there is a need to explore the energy region between $10^{16}$ to $10^{17} \text{ eV}$ in order to connect our results with the measurements performed below $10^{16} \text{ eV}$. A measurement of the composition in this region may be crucial for the understanding of the sources of cosmic rays above the “knee.”
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