

Giorgio Lollino  
Daniele Giordan  
Giovanni Battista Crosta  
Jordi Corominas  
Rafiq Azzam  
Janusz Wasowski  
Nicola Sciarra *Editors*



# Engineering Geology for Society and Territory – Volume 2

Landslide Processes



 Springer

---

Giorgio Lollino • Daniele Giordan  
Giovanni Battista Crosta  
Jordi Corominas • Rafiq Azzam  
Janusz Wasowski • Nicola Sciarra  
Editors

# Engineering Geology for Society and Territory – Volume 2

Landslide Processes

*Editors*

Giorgio Lollino  
Daniele Giordan  
Institute for Geo-hydrological Protection  
National Research Council (CNR)  
Turin  
Italy

Rafiq Azzam  
Department of Engineering Geology  
and Hydrogeology  
RWTH Aachen University  
Aachen  
Germany

Giovanni Battista Crosta  
Department of Earth and Environmental Science  
University of Milan Bicocca  
Milan  
Italy

Janusz Wasowski  
Institute for Geo-hydrological Protection  
National Research Council (CNR)  
Bari  
Italy

Jordi Corominas  
Department of Geotechnical Engineering  
and Geosciences  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya  
Barcelona  
Spain

Nicola Sciarra  
University G. D'Annunzi Chieti Pescara  
Chieti  
Italy

ISBN 978-3-319-09056-6      ISBN 978-3-319-09057-3 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-09057-3  
Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014946956

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

*Cover illustration:* Landslide affecting the hill of Ruinas, Oristano, Italy. On February, 2005, the phenomenon invaded the road path and caused difficulties to the traffic. During the emergency, to ensure safety of the road traffic, a particular monitoring and early warning system was deployed. *Photo:* Daniele Giordan.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

<b>232</b>	<b>GPS Monitoring of the Scopello (Sicily, Italy) DGSD Phenomenon: Relationships Between Surficial and Deep-Seated Morphodynamics . . . . .</b>	1321
	Valerio Agnesi, Edoardo Rotigliano, Umberto Tammaro, Chiara Cappadonia, Christian Conoscenti, Francesco Obrizzo, Cipriano Di Maggio, Dario Luzio, and Folco Pingue	
<b>233</b>	<b>Ganderberg Landslide Characterization Through Monitoring . . . . .</b>	1327
	Giulia Bossi, Simone Frigerio, Matteo Mantovani, Gianluca Marcato, Luca Schenato, and Alessandro Pasuto	
<b>234</b>	<b>Real-Time Monitoring of Deep-Seated Gravitational Slope Deformation in the Taiwan Mountain Belt . . . . .</b>	1333
	Rou-Fei Chen, Ya-Ju Hsu, Shui-Beih Yu, Kuo-Jen Chang, Ruo-Ying Wu, Yu-Chung Hsieh, and Ching-Wee Lin	
<b>235</b>	<b>Long-Term Continuous Monitoring of a Deep-Seated Compound Rock Slide in the Northern Apennines (Italy) . . . . .</b>	1337
	Alessandro Corsini, Francesco Bonacini, Marco Mulas, Marcello Petitta, Francesco Ronchetti, and Giovanni Truffelli	
<b>Part XX Passive Seismic Methods for Unstable Masses Monitoring</b>		
<b>236</b>	<b>Use Accelerogram of Real Earthquakes in the Evaluation of the Stress-Strain State of Landslide Slopes in Seismically Active Regions of Ukraine . . . . .</b>	1343
	O. Trofymchuk, I. Kaliukh, K. Silchenko, V. Polevetskiy, V. Berchun, and T. Kalyukh	
<b>237</b>	<b>Reconstruction of the Geotechnical Model Considering Random Parameters Distributions . . . . .</b>	1347
	Calista Monia, Pasculli Antonio and Sciarra Nicola	
<b>238</b>	<b>Complex Dynamics of Landslides with Time Delay Under External Seismic Triggering Effect. . . . .</b>	1353
	Srđan Kostić, Nebojša Vasović, Dragutin Jevremović, Duško Sunarić, Igor Franović, and Kristina Todorović	
<b>239</b>	<b>Artificial Neural Networks and Kriging Method for Slope Geomechanical Characterization . . . . .</b>	1357
	Romina Secci, M. Laura Foddis, Alessandro Mazzella, Augusto Montisci, and Gabriele Uras	
<b>240</b>	<b>Comparative Study of System Reliability Analysis Methods for Soil Slope Stability. . . . .</b>	1363
	Xiao Liu, D.V. Griffiths, and Hui-ming Tang	
<b>241</b>	<b>Simplification of the Stratigraphic Profile in Geotechnical Models of Landslides: An Analysis Through a Stochastic Approach . . . . .</b>	1367
	G. Bossi, L. Borgatti, G. Marcato, and G. Gottardi	

Xiao Liu, D.V. Griffiths, and Hui-ming Tang

---

## Abstract

Generally, a soil slope might have numerous potential slip surfaces, and each of them can lead to a failure of the slope. So, the soil slope's reliability analysis is naturally a system reliability problem, and the overall failure probability may be larger than that of any single slip surface. This paper focuses on the comparative study of system reliability methods for soil slopes. Initially, a rigorous analytic solution is presented, followed by the classification of the existing analysis methods into four categories. Then, a comparison study between these categories is carried out. We conclude that the Monte Carlo-based analysis is best suited to resolve the system reliability assessment of soil slopes and that within this category the finite-element-based approach is superior to the limit-equilibrium-based one. Other methodologies tend to underestimate the failure probability of the soil slope system.

---

## Keywords

Slope stability • System reliability • Multiple failure modes • Probabilistic methods • Monte Carlo simulation

---

## 240.1 Introduction

A slope reliability analysis often reveals a huge number of possible slip surfaces, which combine into an almost infinite number of failure models. A well designed reliability analysis should recognize that slope failure analysis is a system reliability problem and must reveal an overall slope failure probability. Any reliability analysis that does not confront this reality is weakened by simplification.

---

X. Liu (✉) · H.-m. Tang  
Three Gorges Research Center for Geo-hazards of Ministry of Education, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, 430074, China  
e-mail: liuxiao@china.com

X. Liu · D.V. Griffiths  
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO 80401, USA

D.V. Griffiths  
Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Geotechnical Science and Engineering, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia

Cornell (1971) was the first to point out that the overall slope failure probability may be larger than that of any single slip surface, and this fact has been successively confirmed by many researchers (e.g., Oka and Wu 1990; Chowdhury and Xu 1995; Huang et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2013). However, a considerable number of existing studies (e.g., Hassan and Wolff 1999) still focus on the failure probability along a single slip surface (e.g., the slip surface with maximum failure probability labeled the probability critical slip surface) instead of overall failure probability that involves multiple failure modes.

Because the system reliability analysis of slopes is generally more difficult to achieve than calculation of a single mode, researchers seek and use methods that can simplify the task. Unfortunately, most of these methodologies tend to underestimate the failure probability of the system which can cause serious consequences in engineering practice. So efficacy evaluation of the different methods is essential, and it is critical to recognize their inherent error characteristics. For decades there has been little research aimed at exploring this fundamental topic.

number of slip surfaces involved in the slope system is not limited within the Monte Carlo framework. Increasing the number of slip surfaces does not increase the difficulty of the algorithm programming and if the FEM is applied, it can deal with the infinite series system of slopes. Second, one no longer needs to evaluate separately the complex association between each slip surface because the Monte Carlo simulation automatically satisfies this requirement. The problem of system reliability is unresolvable by any current analytic solution because a soil slope can have infinite potential slip surfaces and the huge number of complex associations between the slip surfaces produce a type of “dimension disaster”. In contrast, the third category, which can evaluate an unlimited number of slip surfaces and automatically evaluates the associations between each slip surface, is especially suitable to the system reliability analysis of soil slopes. Moreover, except for current limitations of computational efficiency, FEM is superior to LEM, so improvement in the efficiency of the FEM algorithms is a promising direction of future development. In this field, Huang et al. (2010) have suggested an efficient method based on technology of response surface.

## 240.5 Conclusion

In the complex reality of natural conditions, a slope reliability analysis must be considered a system reliability problem. The existing methodologies of system reliability analysis can be divided into four categories, and three of them can approach the infinite series system of soil slopes. Theoretical studies have shown that the third category, based on Monte Carlo simulation, has a much more solid theoretical foundation than the other categories. If the same system is evaluated by all three of these categories of methods, the third category will yield the largest failure probability and will be much closer to the true solution, followed by the second category, with the first yielding the smallest one. Moreover, in the third category, the FEM-based approach is superior to the LEM-based one.

**Acknowledgements** The research work presented here and the preparation of this paper have been financially supported by the National Nature Foundation of China (NSFC; Grant No. 41102195 and No. 41230637), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program; Grant No. 2011CB710606), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2012M521500) and the Special Fund for Basic Scientific Research of Central Colleges, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) (Grant No. CUGL100234). All support is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Chowdhury RN, Xu DW (1995) Geotechnical system reliability of slopes. *Reliab Eng Syst Saf* 47(3):141–151. doi:[10.1016/0951-8320\(94\)00063-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0951-8320(94)00063-T)
- Christian JT et al (1994) Reliability applied to slope stability analysis. *J Geotech Eng-ASCE* 120(12):2180–2207. doi:[10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9410\(1994\)120:12\(2180\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1994)120:12(2180))
- Cornell CA (1971) First-order uncertainty analysis of soils deformation and stability. In: *Proceedings of the first international conference on application of statistics and probability in soil and structure engineering (ICAP1)*. University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, pp 129–144
- Ditlevsen O (1979) Narrow reliability bounds for structural systems. *J Struct Mech* 7(4):453–472. doi:[10.1080/03601217908905329](https://doi.org/10.1080/03601217908905329)
- Griffiths DV, Fenton GA (2004) Probabilistic slope stability analysis by finite elements. *J Geotech Geoenviron Eng* 130(5):507–518. doi:[10.1061/\(ASCE\)1090-0241\(2004\)130:5\(507\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2004)130:5(507))
- Hassan AM, Wolff TF (1999) Search algorithm for minimum reliability index of earth slopes. *J Geotech Geoenviron Eng* 125(4):301–308. doi:[10.1061/\(ASCE\)1090-0241\(1999\)125:4\(301\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(1999)125:4(301))
- Huang JS et al (2010) System reliability of slopes by RFEM. *Soils Found* 50(3):343–353. doi:[10.3208/sandf.50.343](https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf.50.343)
- Oka Y, Wu TH (1990) System reliability of slope stability. *J Geotech Eng-ASCE* 116(8):1185–1189. doi:[10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9410\(1990\)116:8\(1185\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1990)116:8(1185))
- Pasculli A et al (2006) The effects of spatial variability of mechanical parameters on a 3D landslide study. In: Hart RD, Varona P (eds) *Proceedings of the 4th international FLAC symposium on numerical modeling in geomechanics*. Madrid, Spain, pp 1–5
- Zhang J et al (2013) Application of the kriging-based response surface method to the system reliability of soil slopes. *J Geotech Geoenviron Eng* 139(4):651–655. doi:[10.1061/\(asce\)gt.1943-5606.0000801](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)gt.1943-5606.0000801)