Subject: EBGN  
Number: 632  

Course Title: Primary Fuels  

Semester/year: Spring 2016

Instructor or Coordinator: Ian Lange  

Contact information (Office/Phone/Email): EH 329/303-384-2430/ilange@mines.edu  
Office hours: TR 1-2, W 10-11  
Class meeting days/times: TR 2-3:15  
Class meeting location: HH 209  

Web Page/Blackboard link (if applicable):

Teaching Assistant (if applicable):

Contact information (Office/Phone/Email):

Instructional activity: 30 hours lecture  hours lab  semester hours  
Course designation: Common Core  Distributed Science or Engineering  
Major requirement  Elective  x Other (please describe _Core for MEE MS/PhD program_________)

Course description from Bulletin:

Textbook and/or other requirement materials:

Required text: None, we will use journal articles and other readings  
Other required supplemental information: Readings as specified/given on Blackboard  
You may like to have your econometrics textbook nearby or Mostly Harmless Econometrics by Angrist and Pischke

Student learning outcomes: At the conclusion of the class students will...

1. Rigorous identification of issues affecting coal, oil and gas extraction  
2. Market structure effects on production  
3. The impact of policies on production and investment  
6. Where to find basic data on energy supply and investment  
7. How to organize basic information in a paper/presentation  
8. How to write/present your thoughts in a clear and concise manner

Brief list of topics covered:

1. Statistical Identification  
2. Policy Evaluation
3. Coal Markets and Policy  
4. Oil Markets and Policy  
5. Gas Markets and Policy

**Policy on academic integrity/misconduct:** The Colorado School of Mines affirms the principle that all individuals associated with the Mines academic community have a responsibility for establishing, maintaining and fostering an understanding and appreciation for academic integrity. In broad terms, this implies protecting the environment of mutual trust within which scholarly exchange occurs, supporting the ability of the faculty to fairly and effectively evaluate every student’s academic achievements, and giving credence to the university’s educational mission, its scholarly objectives and the substance of the degrees it awards. The protection of academic integrity requires there to be clear and consistent standards, as well as confrontation and sanctions when individuals violate those standards. The Colorado School of Mines desires an environment free of any and all forms of academic misconduct and expects students to act with integrity at all times.

Academic misconduct is the intentional act of fraud, in which an individual seeks to claim credit for the work and efforts of another without authorization, or uses unauthorized materials or fabricated information in any academic exercise. Student Academic Misconduct arises when a student violates the principle of academic integrity. Such behavior erodes mutual trust, distorts the fair evaluation of academic achievements, violates the ethical code of behavior upon which education and scholarship rest, and undermines the credibility of the university. Because of the serious institutional and individual ramifications, student misconduct arising from violations of academic integrity is not tolerated at Mines. If a student is found to have engaged in such misconduct sanctions such as change of a grade, loss of institutional privileges, or academic suspension or dismissal may be imposed.

The complete policy is [online].

**Grading Procedures:**  
**Scientific Review/Trading:** 25%  
**Presentation:** 35%  
**Final Paper:** 40%

There are three assignments in this course. The assignments were chosen to fit the structure of a seminar course. I expect that the PhD students will want to get something a little different out of this class than the Masters students. As a result, the manner in which these assignments will be completed is likely to differ for these two groups (PhD students focusing more on methods and data, Masters students focusing on takeaway points).

The first is a scientific review of a piece of work. As will be discussed in class, there are certain aspects of a review that are more pertinent to praise or critique a piece of work. For example, you can’t argue that a paper written in 2011 should have included data from 2014. The goal with this assignment is to evaluate whether you can assess the quality of another piece of work. The scientific review will be due Tuesday, March 1st.

Instead of a scientific review, students can present a five minute discussion of a commodities trade that they would make that week. The presentation should include the logic of why they would make that trade and whom is taking the other side of the trade and why. If you choose to do a commodities trade discussion you must present twice in the semester.

The second assignment is to lead one of the classes with a topic of your interest. Please have your paper/report/topic approved by me. There are a couple of reasons for this assignment. First, teaching a topic is one of the best ways to learn it well. Second, as the class is very specialized this assignment allows for the class to discuss topics they are most interested in. Finally, oral communication skills are extremely important for the careers most of you will be entering. Presentations will start around the week of March 21st and we will schedule them during the third week of the course. Presentations will be marked on their clarity, depth of understanding shown, and quality. It is expected that each person will lead their own class. Guidelines for strong presentations will be given in class. Additionally, I will be leading the first half of the course so you will see what I think is a good way to present.

The final assignment is a research paper of your own. You are not required to perform your own econometric analysis but the paper is expected to be a serious analysis of a question of interest to you. It is highly suggested that you choose the topic of your presentation and paper strategically. I will provide some guidelines for a strong paper but one can/should also follow the patterns/style in the papers that are discussed in class.
**Coursework Return Policy:** The goal is to get coursework feedback within two weeks.

**Absence Policy** (e.g., Sports/Activities Policy): Please notify me ahead of time if you will be absent for tests or the final.

Exams: If you will be absent during a scheduled exam, you should schedule a make-up time before you leave.

**Detailed Course Schedule:**

**Week 1:** Introduction to Course and Statistical Methods

**Week 2:** Inference in Statistical Methods and Policy Evaluation (What do we believe)

**Week 3:** How to Make and Frame Scientific Arguments

**Week 4 and 5:** Coal & Electricity Markets

**Week 6 and 7:** Oil Markets

**Week 8 and 9:** Gas Markets and Renewables

**Week 10:** Spring Break

**Week 11:** Data and dealing with the lack of it

**Week 12-15 (late March-):** Student Presentations of a topic

**Week 16:** Final Thoughts