A group is a system {G, o} that consists of a Jet G W/ a single operation + that satisfics: 1. · is closed, i.e. for a, b ∈ G, a · b = c ∈ G 2. is associative, i.e. for a, b, c & 6, a. (b.c) = (a.b).c 3. There exists an identity e & G s.t. for all a & G, a · c = e · a = a 4. For every acc their exists a'c 6 s.t. a.a'=a'.a=e A finite and discrete case Consider the set of transformations (group elements) in 20 that carry the corners of a square back to where there was previously a corner, i.e. ----Let's count the number of elements. To do so it will help to label at least one corner . Ther we have: " " " " " " " " " " right? Nope! Instead: A I I go A A don't forget A I A. A. A. A. Ī 9, 9, In nation language this can be done in 40: (\$)(\$)(\$)(\$) w/ orever 10: 1 : -1 -1 w/ I 9. 9. 93 9, = 93 I I 9, 9, 93 9, - 93" { I, 9, , 92, 93} What: firsteed I had labelled the square by 1 7 In this case: 9, = 9 -1 What about 1 A? These are called "representations" of the group. The first is called the "fundamental" and the other two are called "legenerate"

Now it turns out that for this group we have: 9th = 92, 9; = I > 9, is the "generator" of the set But so is grave grave grave grave and instead use grave grave the set. But we only need one of them. That is just having group, or grave is crough to generate all the elements of the group. Note, I or grave use!

But there are cases where we "need" more than one, e.g. & I,g,h,u,v}

w g = h, g = I and u = v, u = I = I g h u v

hence h = g, h = I and v = u, v = I I = g h u v

So any of {g,u}, {g,v}, {h,u}, {h,v}

is crough to generate everything else.

v v I u

We are not always guaranteed a subset of generators, e.g. I a b c

I I a b c

a a I c b

b c I a

c c b a I

Obviously these groups are finite, but we can also have infinite discrete groups, i.e. ETA I NEZ which is the set of translations along a line in integer steps.

Now it is time to go continuous. Obviously for a continuous group there are an infinite

number of elements. But it is still we ful to evaluate there as compact us. non-compact.

Ro OE[0, di) compact

A side note: Compact us. non-compact determines quantization

in QN. For example, Ix w/ x \(\in \begin{align*} \mathred{\text{R}} \rightarrow \mathred{\text{R}} \rightarrow \mathred{\text{R}} \rightarrow \mathread{\text{R}} \times \mathread{\text{R}} \rightarrow \mathread{\te

In discussing continuous groups it is first of all impossible to "list" all of the elevents, and you can forset a multiplication table. However, if a continuous group has a finite to of generators, then perhaps focussing on them makes the story casies.

It turns out that a rather large category of continuous groups plays important roler in physics, i.e. the Lie groups. These groups are continuous collections of elements that actually form a manifold. Remember those?! But in doing so, we can bring in what we know about manifolds, for example they are locally isomorphic to IRA, and so the set of elements of a Lie group must also be isomorphic to IRA, and so the set of elements of a Lie group must also be isomorphic

Consider the group SU(3) which is defined as the set of complex valued 3×3 notices which are unitary (U), i.e. $A^{\dagger}A = \overline{I}$, and special $(S)_1$ i.e. $det A = \pm 1$.

First let's confirm that these form a group:

- 1. Closure if $A \in G$, $G \in G$ then $(A G)^{\dagger} A G = B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} A B = B^{\dagger} B = I$ and $d \in (AB) = d \in A d \in B = I$ so $A \in G$.
- 2. Associative square matrix mult. quarantees this
- 3. Identity obviously I = ('1) and IA = A.
- T. Inverse stace AtA = I => A-1 = AT.

Now one insertion to be asked is how many generators does 5463) Lave? Well A = (g & f) where each entre is complex, so there are 18 parameters in general. Note : = 5-1 Now apply that A+A= = = > \land a d d q d \land a d c \\ \land a \cdot I real eq. a b + de + gh = 0] sane 2 real eq. (In) a*c+d*f+g*i=0 freelen (Fr) b'a + e'd + h'g = 01 6*5+e*e+h*h=1 l real eq. b"c + e"f + h"f=0-2 realing (Re) ca + fd+ ; * g = 0 - same c*4+f*e+i*h=0 -(c*c+f*f+;*;=1

So we start ut 18 parameters, but they must satisfy 9 independent eqs. => (8-9 = 9 free parameters)

But they must also sotisfy det A = +1, however det I = +1 = det (AtA) = det At det A

= (det AT) det A

= (det A) det A => det A = e^-

Now if det = c = Re(det A) = coso } so if we choose elements enough to find Re(det A), then identifying

In (det A) = sino } this as coso, we need to find the 19st s.t. In(det A) = sino.

This provides one here constraint and hence leaves 8 free parameters.

In fact: U(N) has N2 Su(N) has N2-1

Now we come full circle and asswer the question, what is the dimension of the manifold that the elements of Su(3) constitute? n= 8

As we discussed in the finite core, if we had the 8 generators of SU(3) in head, then we can full delenents of SU(3) by combining many copies of these. I-low do we do that?

It turns out that an exponential will do the trick.

Lat's call the 8 generators { Tj } j=1, ..., 8.

and To = 13 (000)

This is one set. There are others which share the some exitical properties, i.e. they are all tracless Tr(Tj)= 0 and Hermitian Tj=Tj. In a sease these are infinitesian versions of the 8 degrees of freedom defining any element of Su(3). An orbitrary combination of these is essentially a vector in the torgent space to the manifold, i.e. $\vec{\alpha} = \alpha_j T_j$ where the Ty basis would be fixed and how much of each is determined by the 8 parameters { &; 3. But where in the vector bundle ere we choosing the vector space? Is the Kanifold flat, i.e globally IR where all vector spaces con be parallel, or is it curved where the vector spaces at each point are different?

While for general man: folds there was not be any special points, i.e. 18 or 5? in this case there is. Renember that the collection of points is the set of group elements, and for this set there is obviously a special one, i.e. the identity.

So our infinitesized basis is over the identity, and with this choice in hand, to build an elevent of 54(3) gives by U(x) we can we: U(2) = exp (; 2) = exp (; 2 " To)

Let's start by proving that this construction ratisfies the definition of 54(3). 1. Starting w/ (5): $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{$

wains Baker Compbell Hausdonff 1. Then w/ (u): $L^{+}(\vec{x}) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(: \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right)^{+} L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i} \right) \cdot L(\vec{x}) = e \times \rho \left(- : \underbrace{\vec{z}}_{i} \times_{j} \vec{\tau}_{i}$

Leti build an example. Start w/ x, x0, xjx, = 0 =) U(x) = exp(:x,T,) = [1/4! (:x,T,)^n but notice the quite worked property of Ti: Ti=(000), Ti=(000), Ti=Ti, Ti=Iz (sixilar for other Tis)

$$U(\vec{x}) = \vec{1} + \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n!} (iu_i)^n \vec{1}_i + \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n!} (iu_i)^n \vec{1}_i = \begin{cases} 1 + \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n!} (iu_i)^n & \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n!} (iu_i)^n & 0 \\ \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n!} (iu_i)^n & (+ \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n!} (iu_i)^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{cases}$$