

Review: Looking for two Hermitian operators whose eigenvalues break degeneracy of hydrogen energy levels ( $\hat{H}, ?, ?$ )

Found:  $[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_z] = 0$  (even though  $[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_k$ )  
 $[\hat{H}, \hat{L}^2] = 0$   
 $[\hat{L}^2, \hat{L}_z] = 0$

Maximally commuting set:  $\hat{H}, \hat{L}^2, \hat{L}_z$

SHO:  $[\hat{H}, \hat{p}] \neq 0$   
 $[\hat{H}, \hat{x}] \neq 0$  }  $\hat{a}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} (m\omega\hat{x} \pm i\hat{p})$   
 raise lower eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}$   $\hat{a}_{\pm} \psi_n \rightarrow \psi_{n\pm 1}$

Ang. Mom:  $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_x] \neq 0$   
 $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_y] \neq 0$  }  $\hat{L}_{\pm} = \hat{L}_x \pm i\hat{L}_y$   
 raise lower eigenvalues of  $\hat{L}_z$

For SHO we set a lower bound  $\hat{a}_- \psi_0 = 0$

For ang. mom. we will set an upper and lower bound.

We will need: 
$$\left. \begin{aligned} [\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_\pm] &= \pm \hbar \hat{L}_\pm \\ [\hat{L}_\pm, \hat{L}_\pm] &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \leftarrow \text{from } (\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j) = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_k$$

Show that  $\hat{L}_\pm$  change eigenvalue of  $\hat{L}_z$ :  
 Suppose  $\hat{L}_z f = m f$ , then consider  $\hat{L}_\pm f$

$$\hat{L}_z(\hat{L}_\pm f) = \hat{L}_z(\hat{L}_z f) \pm \hbar \hat{L}_\pm f = \hat{L}_z(m f) \pm \hbar \hat{L}_\pm f$$

$$\hat{L}_z \hat{L}_\pm - \hat{L}_\pm \hat{L}_z = \pm \hbar \hat{L}_\pm = \underline{\underline{(\hbar \pm \hbar) \hat{L}_\pm f}}$$

So  $\hat{L}_z f = m f$

$$\hat{L}_z(\hat{L}_\pm f) = (m \pm \hbar) \hat{L}_\pm f$$

Consider eigenvalue of  $\hat{L}^2$ :

Start with  $\hat{L}^2 f = \lambda f$ , then restrict  $\hat{L}_\pm f$

$$\hat{L}^2(\hat{L}_\pm f) = \hat{L}_\pm(\hat{L}^2 f) = \hat{L}_\pm \lambda f = \lambda(\hat{L}_\pm f)$$

### Imposing upper and lower bounds

Expect  $L_{z, \max} \leq \sqrt{L^2}$  so:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \hat{L}_+ f_{\text{top}} &= 0 \\ \hat{L}_- f_{\text{bot}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{but } \left\{ \begin{aligned} \hat{L}_z f_{\text{top}} &= \hbar l f_{\text{top}} \\ \hat{L}_z f_{\text{bot}} &= \hbar \bar{l} f_{\text{bot}} \end{aligned} \right.$$

You might expect  $\hat{L}^2 f_{\text{top}} = \hbar^2 l^2 f_{\text{top}}$  ← Wrong!!

Consider: 
$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_\pm \hat{L}_\mp &= (\hat{L}_x \pm i \hat{L}_y)(\hat{L}_x \mp i \hat{L}_y) \\ &= \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 \mp i(\hat{L}_x \hat{L}_y - \hat{L}_y \hat{L}_x) \\ &= \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 \pm \hbar \hat{L}_z \end{aligned}$$
 Not same

$$\hat{L}^2 = \hat{L}_\pm \hat{L}_\mp + \hat{L}_z^2 \mp \hbar \hat{L}_z$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}^2 f_{\text{top}} &= (\hat{L}_- \hat{L}_+ + \hat{L}_z^2 + \hbar \hat{L}_z) f_{\text{top}} = (0 + \hbar^2 l^2 + \hbar^2 l) f_{\text{top}} \\ &= \hbar^2 l(l+1) f_{\text{top}} \end{aligned}$$

Eigenvalue of  $\hat{L}^2$  is larger than <sup>max.</sup> eigenvalue of  $\hat{L}_z$ .

$$\hat{L}^2 f_{\text{bot}} = (\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- + \hat{L}_z^2 - \hbar \hat{L}_z) f_{\text{bot}} = \hbar^2 \bar{l}(\bar{l}-1) f_{\text{bot}}$$

$$\underline{\underline{\text{But}}} \quad \hbar^2 l(l+1) = \hbar^2 \bar{l}(\bar{l}-1) \Rightarrow \bar{l} = l+1 \quad (\text{stupid!})$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{l} = -l$$

But  $\hat{L}_\pm$  doesn't change the eigenvalue of  $\hat{L}_z$  for any state.

So for any state on the ladder we have  $\hat{L}^2 f = \hbar^2 l(l+1) f$   
... .. catch!!

Recall:  $\hat{L}_z(\hat{L}_- f) = (m-k)(\hat{L}_- f)$  where  $\hat{L}_z f = m f$

In particular:  $\hat{L}_z(\hat{L}_- f_{top}) = (k l - k)(\hat{L}_- f_{top}) = k(l-1)(\hat{L}_- f_{top})$

Now repeat  $\hat{L}_- \hat{L}_- f_{top}$ , etc. etc.

$$f_{top} \Rightarrow k l$$

$$\hat{L}_- f_{top} \Rightarrow k(l-1)$$

$$\hat{L}_-^2 f_{top} \Rightarrow k(l-2)$$

$$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f_{bot} \quad \underline{k(l-N)} \\ \hat{L}_- f_{bot} = -k l \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} k(l-N) = -k l \\ l = \frac{N}{2} \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{array}$$

So what do have?  $f$  are eigenfunctions of  $\hat{L}^2$  and  $\hat{L}_z$  w/

$$\hat{L}^2 f_l^m = k^2 l(l+1) f_l^m \quad l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$$

$$\hat{L}_z f_l^m = k m f_l^m \quad m = -l, \dots, +l$$

Looks a lot like  $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$  except for  $l = \frac{1}{2}$ -integer

We defined the spherical harmonics as solutions to the angular part of TISE for  $\hat{H}_{\text{hyd}}$ .